FILED

Page 1 of 4

1	2009 MAR 16 AM 10: 55		
2		LERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF LOS ANGELES	
3		E03 MHOLLES	
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8 9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}	
10	Plaintiff,) CASE NO.	
11	v.) CR 08-823-	
12	DEVONNE LARRY NORMAN	ORDER OF DETENTION	
13	DEVOTIVE LARKT NORWAN	}	
14	Defendant.	{	
15		,	
16	I.		
17	A. On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:		
18	1. () a crime of violence.		
19	2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.		
20		ed substance offense with maximum sentence	
21	of ten or more years.		
22	4. () any felony - where the defendant has been convicted of two or more		
23	prior offenses describe		
24		otherwise a crime of violence that involves a	
25	_	ssion or use of a firearm or destructive device	
26	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18		
27	U.S.C § 2250.		
28	B. () On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case		
	ORDER OF DETENTION A	AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. 83142(5))	

CR-94 (06/07)

1	allegedly involving:	
2	On the further allegation by the Government of:	
3	1. (a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
4	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:	
5	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.	
6 7	() same) and an analysis of prospective with the same of the sam	
8	C. The Government (*) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no	
9	1	
10	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.	
11		
12	II.	
13	A. (x) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will	
14	reasonably assure:	
15	1. (x) the appearance of the defendant as required.	
16	() and/or	
17	2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.	
18	B. (1) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient	
19	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.	
20		
21	III.	
22	The Court has considered:	
23	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether th	
24	offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a mino	
25	victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;	
26	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;	
27	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and	
28	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.	

IV. The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report/recommendation. V. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: A. \(\) As to flight risk: in state custody B. (A As to danger: VI. The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will: 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 VII. 9 10 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial. 11 B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody 12 of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to 13 the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being 14 held in custody pending appeal. 15 C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable 16 opportunity for private consultation with counsel. 17 D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States 18 or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the 19 corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant 20 to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection 21 with a court proceeding. 22 23 24 25 DATED: 3/16/09 26 ATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 27 28

Page 4 of 4

CR-94 (06/07)